

Lancashire and South Cumbria Clinical Commissioning Groups Commissioning Policy Reviews

Male Circumcision Public Engagement Outcomes			
When the public engagement took place	Start date	End date	Duration
	20 March 2017	14 April 2017	4 weeks
Number of survey respondents – electronic or on paper	Total	By gender	By disability
	12	Female: 42% Male: 33% Prefer not to say: 25%	No disability: 50% Disability: 17% Prefer not to say: 33%
	By sexual orientation	By ethnicity	
	Heterosexual: 64% Gay/Lesbian: 9% Bisexual: 0% Prefer not to say: 27%	White British: 67% Asian ethnicity: 0% Other: 0%	Mixed ethnicity: 0% Black ethnicity: 0% Prefer not to say: 33%
Number of people seen face-to-face	Not applicable		
	0		
Survey question response rates from patients and members of the public	100% of respondents read the male circumcision policy before completing the survey	Over 66% of those who responded felt it should only be funded for those people who meet the criteria	No survey respondents had received this treatment/procedure
	75% of survey respondents agreed with the criteria for male circumcision and 25% disagreed with the criteria		
Key issues/themes raised by patients and members of the public	Agreed that circumcision for religious or cosmetic reasons should not be funded		
	May be a risk that it is needed later in life or that it is needed for hygiene reasons, when it should be provided if the patient requests it		
	This is about cost-cutting		
Responses to key issues/themes raised	Comments noted		
	The policy criteria incorporates the latest clinical evidence for when this procedure should be carried out		

during public engagement	The criteria for this policy have been expanded and represent the best use of limited resources
Key changes to the policy following public engagement (if applicable)	Not applicable – no changes made to the policy as a result of the feedback received
Policy ratified locally between August-November 2017 and can be found on all CCG websites	