

## Lancashire and South Cumbria Clinical Commissioning Groups Commissioning Policy Reviews

<b>Photorefractive Surgery for Correction of Refractive Error Public Engagement Outcomes</b>			
<b>When the public engagement took place</b>	Start date	End date	Duration
	09 July 2018	03 August 2018	4 weeks
<b>Number of survey respondents – electronic or on paper</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>By gender</b>	<b>By disability</b>
	<b>9</b>	Female: 11% Male: 78% Prefer not to say: 11%	No disability: 44% Disability: 45% Prefer not to say: 11%
	<b>By sexual orientation</b>	<b>By ethnicity</b>	
	Heterosexual: 89% Gay/Lesbian: 0% Bisexual: 0% Prefer not to say: 11%	White British: 78% Asian ethnicity: 11% Other: 0%	Mixed ethnicity: 0% Black ethnicity: 0% Prefer not to say: 11%
<b>Number of people seen face-to-face</b>	Not applicable		
	0		
<b>Survey question response rates from patients and members of the public</b>	67% of the respondents read the photorefractive surgery policy before completing the survey	None of the survey respondents had received this treatment/procedure	
	44% of survey respondents agreed that the procedure should not be funded; 33% of respondents disagreed; 22% neither agreed nor disagreed		
<b>Key issues/themes raised by patients and members of the public</b>	This is a cosmetic procedure and should not be funded		
	This procedure would give some people a better quality of life		
	The procedure should be available in extreme cases		
	The policy review had not been undertaken properly and effectiveness, cost effectiveness and affordability not considered		
<b>Responses to key issues/themes raised</b>	This is in accordance with the policy which does not support routinely funding this procedure		
	A clinician can make the case that this would improve a patient's quality of life by submitting an Individual Funding Request, in line with the exceptionality element of the policy		

<b>during public engagement</b>	Where a clinician feels there is a benefit to the patient from undertaking this treatment, they can submit an Individual Funding Request, in line with the exceptionality element of the policy
<b>Key changes to the policy following public engagement (if applicable)</b>	The final report did not reflect that these elements were considered and has been amended  The policy has been amended to record that consideration of effectiveness, cost effectiveness and affordability have taken place as part of the review process
Policy ratified by Joint Committee of Clinical Commissioning Groups on 5 October 2018	